

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 832 OF 2016

Ramjibhai J. Waghela and ors. ... Petitioner.
V/s.
Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai and ors. ... Respondents.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 1819 OF 2016

M/s. Maharani Estate ... Petitioner.
V/s.
The State of Maharashtra and ors. ... Respondents.

None for the Petitioners in WP 832/16.
A.V. Kale i/b Dhiren Shah for the Petitioner in WP 1819/16 and for
Respondent No.3 in WP 832/16.
Ms Pallavi Thakar for the Respondent – BMC in both petitions.
Mr. V.S. Upadhyay, AGP for Respondent No.1 in WP 1819/16.

CORAM : A.S. OKA AND M.S. SONAK, JJ.

DATE : 14TH DECEMBER 2017.

P.C.:

1] None appears for the petitioner in Writ Petition No. 832 of 2016.
Respondent No.3 in the said writ petition is the petitioner in Writ Petition
No. 1819 of 2016.

2] On instructions, the learned counsel appearing for the respondent
No.3 in Writ Petition No. 832 of 2016 states that the building in respect of
which a notice under Section 354 of the Mumbai Municipal Corporation

Act, 1888 was issued had been demolished. The said notice has been impugned in Writ Petition No. 832 of 2016. We accept the said statement. From the farad -sheet of Writ Petition No. 832 of 2016, we find that there is no ad-interim relief granted in the said petition.

3] Hence, both the petitions do not survive and the same are disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(A.S.OKA, J.)