

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 331 OF 2016

IN

SUIT NO. 3417 OF 2007

Ravi Nair

.. Plaintiff

Vs.

Maharashtra Housing and Area
Development Authority & Anr.

.. Defendants

Mr.Omar Khaiyam Shaikh for plaintiff.

Mr.R.M. Vasudeo i/b Nilesh C. Parmar for applicant.

Ms.Aparna Muralidharan i/b P.G. Lad for defendant no.1

Mr.Dipan Dixit i/b MDP Partners for defendant no.2.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.

DATE : 29TH AUGUST 2017

P.C.

1 Mr.Shaikh appearing for plaintiff states that he has no objection if the chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) but has objection for Rider-2 mentioned in Schedule of amendment.

Mr.Vasudeo appearing for applicant agrees with Mr.Shaikh and states that Schedule was not drafted by him but by an earlier advocate.

2 The chamber summons is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a). Plaintiff to incorporate amendment as per the Schedule annexed to the

chamber summons except the portion which is bracketed in red ink. Amendment to be carried out and the amended plaint to be served within two weeks. Chamber summons accordingly disposed.

3 Mr.Vasudeo waives service of writ of summons. Written statement to be filed within two weeks of receiving the amended plaint.

4 The suit be listed for directions on 22nd September 2017.

Notice of motion not to be listed for hearing and to be listed only at the time of hearing of the suit.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)