

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 835 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 14 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 13 OF 2015

Mehul Jayant Parekh	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 836 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 13 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 53 OF 2015

Anwer A. Husain Patel	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 837 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 11 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 55 OF 2015

Mehul Jayant Parekh	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 838 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 15 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 56 OF 2015

Suresh Mandhana	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 839 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 9 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 18 OF 2015

Rakesh Naval	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 840 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 12 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 4 OF 2015

Anwar A. Husain Patel	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 841 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 6 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 52 OF 2015

Reema Shivdasani	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 842 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 16 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 54 OF 2015

Sapan Chakravorty	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 843 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 5 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 7 OF 2015

Ajay Jain	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 844 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 8 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 6 OF 2015

Yogesh Navnitlal Parikh	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 845 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 7 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 12 OF 2015

Yogesh Navnitlal Parikh	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

WITH
NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 846 OF 2017
IN
REVIEW PETITION (L) NO. 10 OF 2017
IN
CUSTOMS APPEAL NO. 11 OF 2015

Suresh Mandhana	}	Applicant
versus		
The Commissioner of Customs	}	
(Export Promotion) and Ors.	}	Respondents

Mr. V. Sridharan-Senior Advocate with
 Mr. Prakash Shah and Mr. Jas Sanghvi
 i/b. M/s. PDS Legal for the applicants.

Mr. Pradeep S. Jetly for the respondents.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, JJ.
DATED :- NOVEMBER 24, 2017

P.C. :-

For the reasons set out in the notices of motion, the delay is condoned. The notices of motion are made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). The notices of motion are disposed of. There would be no order as to costs.

(DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.) (S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)