

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009

M/s. Girdharilal Agrawal & Co. .. Petitioner
Vs.
New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd. .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO. 11 OF 2011**

Hwaseung Exwill Co. Ltd. .. Petitioner
Vs.
New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd. .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO. 74 OF 2011**

M/s. Madhur Engineers Pvt. Ltd. .. Petitioner
Vs.
New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd. .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 251 OF 2011
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

Sunil Dhirajlal Mehta .. Applicant
Vs.
The O.L. of New Haven Steel Ball
Corporation Pvt. Ltd. (in liqn.) .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO. 336 OF 2012**

Phoolchand Jain .. Petitioner
Vs.
New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd. .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY PETITION NO. 337 OF 2012**

SMC Stainless Alloys Pvt. Ltd. .. Petitioner
Vs.
New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd. .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 422 OF 2012
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

Mr. Milan Mehta,
Ex-Director of New Haven steel Ball
Corporation Pvt. Ltd. .. Applicant
Vs.
The O.L. of New Haven Steel Ball
Corporation Pvt. Ltd.(in liqn.) .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 8 OF 2013
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

Canara Bank .. Applicant
Vs.
The O.L. of New Haven Steel Ball
Corporation Pvt. Ltd.(in liqn.) .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 377 OF 2013
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

M/s. D.I. Steel Pvt. Ltd. .. Applicant
Vs.
The O.L. of New Haven Steel Ball
Corporation Pvt. Ltd.(in liqn.) .. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2016
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

Tax Recovery Office Range Mumbai	.. Applicant
Vs.	
The O.L. of New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd.(in liqn.)	.. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 329 OF 2017
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 74 OF 2011**

M/s. Kavis Fashions M/s. D.I. Steel Pvt. Ltd.	.. Applicant
Vs.	
The O.L. of New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd.(in liqn.)	.. Respondent

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 337 OF 2017
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

Riyassudin Mohammedali Khot & Anr.	.. Applicants
Vs.	
The O.L. of New Haven Steel Ball Corporation Pvt. Ltd.(in liqn.)	.. Respondent

Mr.G.B. Kedia for petitioner in CP/74/2011.

Mr.Chandran Singh Shekhawat i/b ALMT Legal for petitioner in CP/336/2012 & CP/337/2012.

Mr. S.P. Kanuga for applicant in CA/377/2012.

Mr.Suresh Kumar for applicant in CA/467/2016.

Mr.Vijay B. Kanoria for applicant in CA/329/2016.

Mr.Vachan Bodke i/b M/s. Chitnis Vaithy and Co. for applicant in CA/337/2017.

None for applicant in CA/8/2013.

None for applicant in CA/422/2012.

Mr.Mahendhar Aithe-Company Prosecutor for official liquidator present.

CORAM : K.R.SHRIRAM, J.
DATE : 6TH NOVEMBER 2017

P.C.

**COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 467 OF 2016
AND
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 377 OF 2013
AND
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 337 OF 2017
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

1 Mr.Kanuga appearing in company application No. 377 of 2012, Mr.Bodke appearing in company application No. 337 of 2017 and Mr. Suresh Kumar appearing in company application No. 467 of 2016 for respective Ex-Directors have no objection. The official liquidator also has no objection.

2 Therefore, the above company applications allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b) and accordingly disposed.

**COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 422 OF 2012
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 883 OF 2009**

3 None for applicant.

Application dismissed.

**WITH
COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 8 OF 2013**

4 Without the assistance of applicant, who is not present today, this application is taken up for hearing.

The official liquidator has no objection if the application is allowed.

5 Therefore, the company application allowed in terms of prayer clauses (a) and (b) and accordingly disposed.

**COMPANY APPLICATION NO. 329 OF 2017
IN
COMPANY PETITION NO. 74 OF 2011**

6 The Official Liquidator states that applicant herein has to pay an amount of Rs. 34 crores to the company and an Official Liquidator's Report has already been prepared and copy served upon applicant to which there is no reply.

Mr. Kanoria for applicant states his reply to the OLR is ready and will be filed during the course of today.

7 Stand over to 6th December 2017.

It is clarified that the Ex-Directors shall personally remain present in Court on the next date.

(K.R. SHRIRAM, J.)