

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.2482 OF 2016

Ms. Dilip Chunilal Dave & Anr. ... Petitioners
Vs.
Mumbai Municipal Corporation & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Dilip H. Shukla, a/w. G. Prajapaty, i/by M/s. Yash Associates, for the Petitioners.

Ms. Kejali Mastakar for the Respondent-Municipal Corporation.

CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.J.

DATE : 3RD APRIL 2017.

P.C. :

1. Heard learned counsels for the respective parties.
2. Learned counsel for the Petitioners submits that the eviction suits are pending in the Trial Court against the tenants. It is alleged that some tenants had illegally disconnected the water supply and got it connected in their rooms in the tenanted premises.
3. It is submitted by learned counsel for the Respondent-Municipal Corporation that Municipal Corporation has not disconnected the water supply.

4. In the facts of the case, we are not inclined to interfere in the matter. The subject issues are raised before the Civil Court. Therefore, Petitioners may resort to alternate remedy, as permissible in law.

5. Petition is disposed of.

[DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.] [NARESH H. PATIL, J.]