

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
NOTICE OF MOTION NO.1182 OF 2017
IN
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL (L) NO.236 OF 2016**

M/s. Jagrat Industries	... Applicant
Vs.	
Commissioner of Central Excise and Anr.	... Respondents

Mr. R.V. Desai, Senior Counsel a/w Mr. A.M. Khare for the Applicant.
Mr. M. Dwivedi for the Respondents.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**

DATE : 19th SEPTEMBER, 2017

P.C.

1 Heard the learned Senior counsel appearing for the applicant. The learned counsel appearing for the Respondent opposes the Notice of Motion. In view of the averments made in paragraphs 4 and 5 of the affidavit in support, sufficient cause is made out to condone the delay of 18 days. Accordingly, Notice of Motion is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a). Appeal shall be fixed for admission on the date fixed as per CMIS.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)