

ATUL

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**

**ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**IN ITS COMMERCIAL DIVISION**

**LEAVE PETITION NO. 115 OF 2017**

**IN**

**COMM SUIT (L) NO. 139 OF 2017**

Drive India Enterprise Solutions Ltd ...Petitioners

*Versus*

Haier Telecom (India) Pvt Ltd ...Respondents

---

**Ms Naira Jejeebhoy**, *with Aditya Sikka, i/b Bharucha & Partners, for the Petitioners.*

---

**CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J**

**DATED: 27th March 2017**

**PC:-**

1. Heard. The Petitioners propose to file a Summary Suit. The Respondent has its office in New Delhi. A material part of the cause of action has arisen in Mumbai as set out in paragraph 5 of the Petition. I am satisfied that with leave granted this Court would have jurisdiction to entertain and try the Suit.

2. Leave granted. The Petition is made absolute.

**(G. S. PATEL, J.)**