IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 91 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Central Excise, Pune III	}	Appellant
versus		
M/s. Vitthal Sugar Manufacturing Ltd.	}	Respondent

WITH
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 92 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Central Excise, Pune III	}	Appellant
versus		
Vitthal Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.	}	Respondent

WITH
CENTRAL EXCISE APPEAL NO. 158 OF 2013

The Commissioner of Central Excise, Pune III	}	Appellant
versus		
M/s. Ghodganga Sahakari Sakhar Karkhana Ltd.	}	Respondent

Ms. Ruju R. Thakkar for the appellants in CEXA Nos. 91 and 92 of 2013.

Ms. Shalaka Gujar for the appellant in CEXA/158/2013.

None for the respondents.

CORAM :- S. C. DHARMADHIKARI &
PRAKASH. D. NAIK, JJ.

DATED :- APRIL 24, 2017

P.C. :-

1. Ms. Thakkar and Ms. Gujar appearing in support of these appeals state on instructions that the issue raised and the question of law particularly is covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of *Union of India vs. DSCL Sugar Limited*[1]. In view thereof, the learned advocates appearing for the appellants seek leave to withdraw these appeals. We order accordingly. Each of these appeals are disposed of as withdrawn in the light of the above.

(PRAKASH.D.NAIK, J.) **(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)**

1 **2015 (322) ELT 769**