

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.90 OF 2007

IN

PIL (WRIT PETITION) NO.87 OF 2006

The Bombay Environment Action Group & Anr.	... Petitioners
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	... Respondents
And	
Charkop Mateshwari Co-operative Housing Society Ltd.	... Applicant

None for the Applicant.

Mr. Jai Chhabria a/w Ms. Shreya Parikh for the Petitioners.

Mr. Milind More, Addl. Government Pleader for Respondent Nos.1, 5,
5a & 6 – State.

Ms. Sharmila Deshmukh for Respondent No.3.

Ms. Trupti Puranik for Respondent No.4. - BMC.

Mr. B.S. Nayak i/by Ms. Kiran Bagalia for Respondent No.7.

Ms. Nityoah Mehta a/w Mr. Ashish Anand & Ms. Siddhi Vora i/by
Nityoah Sunnel & Associates for Respondent No.12.

Ms. Nalanda Jadhav i/by N.R. Bubna for Respondent No.20.

Mr. N.R. Prajapati a/w Mr. Rui Rodrigues for Respondent - UOI.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 5th JANUARY, 2017

PC.

1 None appears for the applicant. Chamber Summons is dismissed for non-prosecution. We, however, make it clear that if the applicant is aggrieved by the stop work notice issued by the Mumbai Municipal Corporation, it is open for the applicant to adopt appropriate remedy in accordance with law to challenge the stop work notice.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)