

FARAD CONTINUATION SHEET

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO.49 OF 2015

Office Notes, Office
memorandum of Coram,
appearances, Court's
orders or directions &
Registrar's orders.

Court's or
Judge's orders.

Ms. Devki R. Sahu i/b Shri A.M. Saraogi for the Petitioner.
Shri Manish Upadhyay, AGP for the Respondent No.1.
Ms.Geeta Joglekar for the Respondent BMC.

—

CORAM : A.S. OKA &
SMT. VIBHA KANKANWADI, JJ

DATED : 31ST JULY 2017

P.C.:

1. The learned counsel appearing for the Petitioner states that the Petitioner has taken back the papers from her.

2. Perused the order dated 28th March 2014. If the statement of the Municipal Corporation recorded in the said order is false, the allegations made in the Petition would constitute a criminal contempt. Therefore, the Petitioner will have to obtain a

consent of the learned Advocate General. Admittedly, the consent of the learned Advocate General has not been obtained. The Petitioner is not seeking any suo motu exercise of powers of this Court for initiating action for criminal contempt.

3. Hence, no action can be initiated in this Contempt Petition.

4. Subject to what is observed above, the Petition is disposed of.

(VIBHA KANKANWADI, J)

(A.S.OKA, J)