

Santosh

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 74 OF 2017
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1276 OF 2015**

Tata Capital Financial Services Ltd ...Applicant
Versus
Lal Krishna Yadav & Anr ...Respondents

**WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 75 OF 2017
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1385 OF 2015**

Tata Capital Financial Services Ltd ...Applicant
Versus
Dixit Infrastructure Enterprises & Anr ...Respondents

**WITH
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 264 OF 2017
IN
ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 403 OF 2015**

Tata Capital Financial Services Ltd ...Applicant
Versus
Vishal Auti & Anr ...Respondents

WITH

CHAMBER SUMMONS NO. 60 OF 2017

IN

ARBITRATION PETITION NO. 1380 OF 2015

Tata Capital Financial Services Ltd

Versus

Dixit Infrastructure Enterprises & Anr

Mr Nikhil Mehta, i/b KMC Legal Venture, for the Applicants.

Mr A Tamannawar, Section Officer of Court Receiver present.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J

DATED: 28th April 2017

PC:-

1. These are four Chamber Summonses by the Petitioners . The Arbitration Petitions themselves are already disposed of. In all four matters the Applicants have obtained awards. They have also filed Execution Applications which are, correspondingly , EA 916 of 2017, EA 917 of 2017, EA 915 of 2017 and EA 918 of 2017.

2. A Receiver was appointed in the Arbitration Petitions under Section 9. I cannot possibly order sale of the hypothecated assets by way of this Chamber Summons in a disposed of Section 9 Petition while there are pending execution applications. I will, however, continue the Receiver appointed in each one of these till such time as an order discharging the Receiver is made in the corresponding Execution Applications.

3. The Chamber Summonses are disposed of in these terms with liberty to the Plaintiffs to apply for an order of sale in the Execution Application.

(G. S. PATEL, J.)