

M/s. G4S Security (India) Private Limited	...	Petitioner
Vs.		
Authority under the Minimum Wages Act, 1948 and The Regional Labour Commissioner and others	...	Respondents

CORAM : R. G. KETKAR, J.
DATE : JULY 13, 2017

Heard Mr. Sawant, learned Counsel for petitioner and Mr. Mehta, learned Counsel for respondents at length.

3. It is the common ground between the parties that even prior to filing of the application, petitioner had paid difference of wages to the

tune of Rs.1,64,388/- and the said fact was also confirmed by the Labour Enforcement Officer (Central). Mr. Sawant, therefore, submitted that the impugned order deserves to be set aside. Mr. Mehta did not dispute the fact that on 17.04.2009, petitioner had paid Rs.1,64,388/- being the difference of wages even before filing of the application on 29.07.2009. It is also not in dispute that the said amount is disbursed to the concerned workmen.

4. In view thereof, the impugned order is set aside. Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a) with no order as to costs.

(R. G. KETKAR, J.)

Minal Parab