

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2616 OF 2016**

Tanaji Mirgappa Mane & Anr.	...Applicants
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 21 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2616 OF 2016**

Duryodhan Kondiba Solankar	...Intervener
----------------------------	---------------

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN :

Tanaji Mirgappa Mane & Anr.	...Applicants
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2617 OF 2016**

Bira Waman Mane & Anr.	...Applicants
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 22 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2617 OF 2016**

Duryodhan Kondiba Solankar	...Intervener
----------------------------	---------------

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN :

Bira Waman Mane & Anr.	...Applicants
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2618 OF 2016**

Kisan Murlidhar Sule	...Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 23 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO. 2618 OF 2016**

Duryodhan Kondiba Solankar	...Intervener
----------------------------	---------------

IN THE MATTER BETWEEN :

Kisan Murlidhar Sule	...Applicant
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	...Respondent

Mr. Ashok Mundargi, Sr. Counsel a/w Mr. Prabhakar Manohar Jadhav and
Mr. V. P. Kakade for the Applicants

Mr. Rajan Salvi, A.P.P for the Respondent-State in BA/2616/17

Ms. Rutuja Ambekar, A.P.P for the Respondent-State in BA/2617/17

Mr. S. H. Yadav, A.P.P for the Respondent-State in BA/2618/17

Mr. A. H. H. Ponda a/w Mr. Datta Mane for the Intervener

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.
THURSDAY, 6th JULY, 2017

P.C. :

1. Learned A.P.P, at the outset, submit that the charge has been framed and the trial has commenced. They submit that the first witness has

been examined and the matter is posted for cross-examination of the first witness.

2. Considering the fact that the trial has commenced, it is not necessary to go into the merits of the applications. Applications are accordingly dismissed.

3. The trial of the applicants is, however expedited. The learned Sessions Judge shall take prompt steps to provide Legal-Aid Lawyers to espouse the cause of the applicants, if private advocates are not engaged by them.

4. Applications are disposed of accordingly.

5. In view of the disposal of the applications, intervention applications being Criminal Application Nos. 21/2017, 22/2017 and 23/2017 do not survive. The same stand disposed of.

REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.