

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO. 4556 of 2016

Mr. Aziz Panjwani ... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Maharashtra & ors. ... Respondents

Mr. S.S. Borkar for the petitioner.

Mrs. A.S. Pai, APP for the State.

***CORAM : NARESH H. PATIL AND
NITIN W. SAMBRE, JJ.***

14th December, 2017.

P.C.

Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner's grievance is that service provider M/s. Paurana Telecom Services, without authority of law, has charged service tax to the petitioner. The petitioner's case is that service provider has recently got registered for claiming service tax from the consumers.

3. We have perused the record placed before us. Heard learned Counsel appearing for the petitioner and for the State. The petitioner prays for direction to police to register the FIR.

4. In the facts of the case, we observe that petitioner may resort to alternate statutory remedy as permissible in law. All issues on merits are kept open. Writ Petition stands disposed of.

(NITIN W. SAMBRE, J)

(NARESH H. PATIL, J.)

L.S. Panjwani, P.S.