

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 2238 OF 2017

Shishail Mahadev Munje and Ors. ... Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Ors Respondents

.....

Mr. A.S. Khandeparkar I/b. Khandeparkar and Associates for the
Petitioners.

Mr. S.L. Babar, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1, 2 and 4.

.....

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
M. S. SONAK, JJ.**

DATE : JULY 10, 2017.

P.C. :

1. Not on Board. In view of urgency, taken on Production Board.
2. The grievance of the Petitioners is that, though they had submitted appeals as also applications for interim release of the vehicles in question in respect to each of the Petitioners before appellate authority, but the appellate authority has not considered and decided the Petitioners appeals as also the application for interim release of vehicles in question.
3. Today when the matter came up for hearing, the only prayer made by the learned counsel for the Petitioners is to dispose of this petition by directing the appellate authority to decide the said

applications for interim release of the vehicles as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

4. In view of the aforesaid, we dispose of this petition by directing the appellate authority to decide the Petitioners application for interim release of the vehicles in question as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law but in any case not later than four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

5. With the aforesaid direction, the petition is disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)