

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 5037 OF 2015

Sandhya Anil Kusumbkar	..Petitioner
Versus	
The State of Maharashtra	..Respondent

Mr. Satyavrat Joshi, advocate for the petitioner.
Mrs. M. M. Deshmukh, APP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE,
A. M. BADAR, J J.**

DATE : 9th MARCH, 2017.

P. C. :

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute the statutory appeal is provided against the order impugned in the instant petition. In that view, since an efficacious alternative remedy is available to the petitioner, we are not inclined to entertain this petition. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner, is at liberty, to challenge the order impugned in this petition, by way of appeal and, if necessary, along with the application for condonation of delay. The appellate authority shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)