

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.835 OF 2015

The State of Maharashtra ... **Applicant**

V/s.

Smt.Maya Durjan Bankar ... **Respondent**

.....

Mr.S.V.Gavand, APP for the Applicant/State.

None for the Respondent.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 20th MARCH 2017.

P.C. :

1 This is an application for condonation of delay of 24 days in lodging an application for leave to appeal challenging acquittal of the respondent of offence punishable under Sections 7 and 13(1)(d) read with Section 13(2) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 by the learned Special Judge, Pune.

2 The office endorsement shows that the respondent is duly served. It is also seen that the respondent has engaged an Advocate to represent her in the instant application.

3 Heard the learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing for the applicant/State. None for the non-applicant.

4 Perused the averments made in the application, which are on affidavit. Those are not controverted by filing the counter affidavit. Sufficient cause is made out by the applicant/State for condoning the delay, as seen from the averments made in the application. Hence, the order :

- (i) The application is allowed.
- (ii) The delay in lodging the application for leave to appeal is condoned.

5 The application is disposed of accordingly.

(A.M.BADAR J.)