

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
FAMILY COURT APPEAL NO. 192 OF 2017

Mukund Sudam Kuchekar

.....Appellant

V/s.

Smt. Kiran Mukund Kuchekar

....Respondent

Mr. Sadashiv Limaye for the appellant
None for the respondent

**CORAM : SMT. VASANTI. A. NAIK,
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : DECEMBER 21, 2017.

P.C.

By this appeal, the appellant challenges the order of the Family Court, dated 27/09/2017 rejecting the application filed by the appellant for review of the order by which the respondent-wife was allowed to file the written statement.

This appeal would not be tenable in view of the provisions of section 19 of the Family Courts Act, 1984. The appeal under section 19 of the Family Courts Act would lie only against the judgment or an order, which is not an interlocutory order of the Family Court. We find that the order dated

ism

27/09/2017 is an interlocutory order and not a final order or a judgment. It would be necessary for the appellant to avail the appropriate remedy for challenging the order.

We decline to entertain the appeal in the aforesaid set of facts. The appeal stands disposed of with no order as to costs. The points raised in the appeal are however kept open.

[SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.]

[SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK, J.]