

Jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 2902 OF 2016

Navneet Atmaram Bhoir ... Petitioner

Versus

The Uran Municipal Council, Uran ...Respondent

Mr. Nilesh Wable, i/b Mr. Sachin K. Hande, for the Petitioner.
Mr. S.B. Shetye, for Respondent No.1.

**CORAM: SMT. VASANTI A NAIK AND
MR. RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.**
DATED: 22ND NOVEMBER 2017

PC:-

By this writ petition, the petitioner has challenged the draft development plan of Uran Municipal Council, Uran.

Shri Shetye, the learned counsel for the respondent no.1 states on instructions that the final development plan for Uran Municipal Council is issued by the State Government under Section 31 of the Maharashtra Regional and Town Planning Act on 9th March 2017. It is stated that since the petitioner had challenged only the draft development plan and since the notification pertaining to final development plan is issued by the State Government the cause for filing the writ petition would not survive.

In view of the statement made by the learned counsel for

the respondent no.1, we dispose of the writ petition with no order as to costs. The points raised in the petition are however kept open.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.)

(SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK, J.)