

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 677 OF 2016

Smt. Kanchan Nathubhai Shah & Ors. ...Applicants

Versus

Mr. Ramesh Ganesh Saxena & Ors. ...Respondents

Ms. Sanjukta Dey, for the Applicant.

Mr. Dilip Rai, i/b Ms. Shweta Agrawal for the Respondent No. 1.

CORAM : N.M. JAMDAR, J.

DATE : 8 February 2017

ORDER :

1. Not on board. Taken on production board by way of praecipe.

2. The learned Counsel for the parties state that the parties have amicably resolved their dispute and have signed Consent Terms. The learned Counsel for the parties state that the parties have been explained the terms and consequence thereof. The learned Counsel state that the demand draft is

already handed over so also the possession of the suit premises.

3. I have perused the Consent Terms. There is nothing illegal or unconscionable in respect of the terms that have been agreed. Accordingly, Consent Terms are taken on record and marked 'X' for identification.

4. At the joint request of the learned Counsel, the Civil Revision Application is disposed of in terms of the Consent Terms.

5. The learned Counsel for Respondent No. 1 states that Respondent No. 1 is present in Court.

[N.M. JAMDAR, J.]