

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1987 of 2017

Mitesh Vageriya ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and anr. ...Respondents

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.1061 OF 2017

IN

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1987 of 2017

Ajay Murlidhar Batheja ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and anr. ...Respondents

WITH

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1988 of 2017

Gurjot Singh Sandhu ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

WITH

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1062 OF 2017

IN

ANTICIPATORY BAIL APPLICATION NO.1988 of 2017

Ajay Murlidhar Batheja ...Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and Anr. ...Respondents

Mr.M.S.Mohite a/w Manish Bohra i/b A.S.Khan and Asso.for
Applicant in ABA No.1987 of 2017

Mr. Subhash Jha a/w Sanjana Pardeshi i/b Gunjan Thakkar
for applicant in ABA No.1988 of 2017

Ms.P.N.Dabholkar, APP for the State in both the matters.

Mr.Pranav Badheka i/b Amit P.Ghag for intervenor in APPP
No.1061 of 2017 and 1062 of 2017

CORAM: A.M. BADAR, J.
DATED: 5th DECEMBER, 2017

OPERATIVE ORDER

1. For the reasons separately recorded in the order, the following order is passed:-

ORDER

- i) Both these applications for grant of Anticipatory bail filed by the applicants Mitesh Vageriya and Gurjot Singh Sandhu are rejected.
- ii) In view of disposal of Anticipatory Bail applications, Criminal Application bearing Nos.1061 of 2017 and 1062 of 2017 stand disposed of.
- iii) At this stage, request is made by the learned advocates appearing for both the applicants to continue the interim relief in order to enable the applicants to approach the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matters.

iv) Order granting ad-interim anticipatory bail was operating in favour of both the applicants, the same shall be continued for a period of three weeks.

(A.M. BADAR, J)