

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 12472 OF 2017

...
 Shri. Nandkumar Digamber MungekarPetitioner
 V/S
 The Kunbi Sahakari Bank Limited, Mumbai,
 And Ors.Respondents

...
 Mr.Pankaj Pandey i/b Mr.Sachin R.Pawar for the Petitioner.
 Mrs.R.A.Salunkhe, AGP for the State.
 Ms.Deepthi Panda with Mr.S.Bane i/b S.B.Legal for the Respondents Nos.1
 & 2.

...
CORAM : A.A. SAYED &
MANISH PITALE, JJ.
DATED : 11 DECEMBER 2017

P.C.:

Learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1-Bank states that the entire premise on which the Petition is filed is the notice dated 31 October 2017 issued by the Respondent No.3. She submits that the said notice wrongly refers to section 14 of Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Securities Interest Act, 2002 (SARFAESI Act). She makes a statement that the Respondent No.1-Bank has not issued any notice nor taken any action under the SARFAESI Act.

2. In view of the statement made by the learned Counsel for the Respondent No.1-Bank, the learned Counsel for the Petitioner does not press the Petition and seeks to withdraw the Petition.
3. The Petition is, accordingly, disposed of as withdrawn.

(MANISH PITALE, J.)

(A.A.SAYED, J.)