

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.688 OF 2016

Anjum Kutubuddin Makrani & Ors.... **Applicants**

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra ... **Respondent**

.....

Ms.Nasreen Ayubi, Appointed Advocate for the Applicants.

Ms.A.A.Takalkar, APP for the Respondent/State.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 3rd MARCH 2017.

P.C. :

1 Heard Ms.Nasreen Ayubi appointed Advocate appearing for applicants. She argued that applicants are apprehending bias and, therefore, they want the Sessions Case in which they are arraigned as accused to be transferred to some another learned Additional Sessions Judge at Nashik.

2 I have also heard the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent/State.

3 It is seen from the arguments advanced by the parties, as well as, pleading in the application sent through jail that

applicant/accused are seeking transfer of the pending Sessions Case from one learned Additional Sessions Judge to another learned Additional Sessions judge. As such, the applicant can very well raise this grievance by filing appropriate proceedings before the learned Sessions Judge at Nashik.

4 In this view of the matter, the application is rejected.

5 Inform applicants/accused accordingly.

(A.M.BADAR J.)