

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.178 OF 2017

Kantilal Dharamdas Shah

...Petitioner

vs.

The State of Maharashtra and Others

...Respondents

Mr. V.V. Salunke, for the Petitioner

Mr. V.P. Malvankar, 'A' Panel Counsel for the Respondents-State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
M. S. SONAK, JJ.**

DATE : JULY 04, 2017

P.C.:

- . Not on board. Upon mentioning taken on board.
2. Parties through their counsel.
3. With the consent of the parties heard finally at the stage of admission.
4. The grievance of the Petitioner is that Respondent No. 3 – Tahsildar, Vikramgad, Dist. Palghar has not complied with the order dated 27th August, 2014 passed by the State Minister, Revenue Department.
5. Without commenting upon the merits of the matter and direction contained in the said order, we direct the Respondent No. 3 - Tahsildar to look into the Petitioner's grievance and take

appropriate decision in regard to the Petitioner's grievance taking into consideration the direction contained in the order dated 27th August, 2014 passed by the State Minister, Revenue Department as expeditiously as possible. While taking decision, the Tahsildar shall give due opportunity of hearing to the Petitioner as also to the private Respondent Nos. 5 to 12 or any other affected parties.

6. With the aforesaid direction, the Petition is disposed of.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)