

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE SIDE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3935 OF 2016

Kishore Nagindas Dalal

....Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra and another

... Respondents

Mr. Kunal K. Dalal Advocate for Petitioner

Mr. Manohar H. Ramsinghani a/w Mr. Jatin P. Shah
for Respondent no. 2.

Petitioner and Respondent no. 2 present in person.

Mr. V. B. Konde-Deshmukh APP for the State.

CORAM: SMT.SADHANA S.JADHAV, J.

DATED : 28th APRIL, 2017.

P.C.

- 1) Heard respective counsel.
- 2) Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith with the consent of the parties.
- 3) Respective counsel submit that the parties to the present petition have amicably settled the matter. Parties are present before the Court and they admit the same. The petitioner and the respondent have filed consent terms

ism

which are taken on record and marked as article 'X' for the purpose of identification. Both counsel upon instructions submit that parties to the consent terms will abide by the terms and conditions mentioned in the consent terms. In view of this, petition deserves to be allowed.

- 4) Rule is made absolute in terms of prayer clause (a).
- 5) Parties are at liberty to file appropriate proceedings before the Appellate Court which may be considered in accordance with Law and in the interest of justice.
- 6) Prayer clause (b) as mentioned in the consent terms are to be accepted and admitted by the office.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV, J.)