

Atul

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 345 OF 2016
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 446 OF 2016

Jayprakash Vinayak Bhanage ...Appellant
Versus
Maharashtra Housing & Area Development ...Respondents
Authority & Ors

Mr Mohit Jadhav, i/b DR Prasad Singh, for the Appellant.
Mr Shankar P Thorat, for Respondents Nos. 1 & 2-MHADA.

CORAM: G.S. PATEL, J
DATED: 19th June 2017

PC:-

1. The Appeal is directed against an order dated 3rd November 2015 declining ad-interim reliefs. Parties request that rather than deciding the Appeal on merits, since the Notice of Motion itself has ripened for hearing, the order of *status quo* granted by this Court on 4th November 2015 and which has continued till today be continued until the final disposal of the Notice of Motion.

2. The suggestion is reasonable. That order of *status quo* dated 4th November 2015 has not been vacated but on the contrary has been periodically continued. That order of *status quo* will continue

until the final disposal of the Notice of Motion, and, if the Notice of Motion is decided against the Plaintiff, for a period of two weeks thereafter.

3. The Appeal from Order is disposed of in these terms with no order as to costs.

4. The Civil Application does not survive and is disposed of as infructuous.

(G. S. PATEL, J)