

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION  
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 619 OF 2014

Mr.Maheshkumar Gowardhandas Kukadecha

...Applicant  
(Orig. Complainant )

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Anr.

...Respondents  
(Res.2 is Ori.Accused No.1)

.....

Mr.Prashant M.Patil for the Applicant.

Mr.Deepak Thakery, APP for State-Respondent No.1.

.....

**CORAM : MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.**

**DATE : 2<sup>nd</sup> February 2017**

P.C.:

1. The learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant has challenged the order dated 13<sup>th</sup> October 2014 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Pune, granting pre-arrest bail in Criminal Bail Application No. 3046 of 2014 in C.R. No. 422 of 2014 of Pimpri Police Station.

2. I have perused the impugned order of bail. The offence is registered under section 306 of the Indian Penal Code. The applicant is the father of girl Ruchita, who committed suicide. On perusal of the impugned order of bail, I do not find any illegality in passing the order. So also, nothing is brought on record to show that respondent no. 2 i.e. original accused has faulted any conditions imposed on him while allowing anticipatory bail, and hence the Criminal Application is dismissed.

**(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)**