

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO.3768 OF 2016

Priyanka Murad Raja

.... Petitioner

versus

State of Maharashtra & Ors.

... Respondents

.....

- Mr.Tushar Pimple, Advocate for the Petitioner.
- Mrs.S.V. Sonawane, APP for the State/Respondent.
- Mr.Ashok Kumar Dubey, Advocate for Respondent Nos.2 to 5.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
SARANG V. KOTWAL, JJ.**

DATE : 04th AUGUST, 2017.

P.C. :

1. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, respondent Nos.2 to 5 and learned APP.
2. The complainant herself has approached this Court invoking jurisdiction under section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing and setting aside FIR bearing C.R.No.71/16, registered with Versova Police Station, Mumbai, for the offences punishable u/s 323, 324, 325, 354, 504 r/w 34 of the Indian Penal Code, registered against the respondent Nos.2, 3, 4 and 5.

3. It is the case of petitioner that pending investigation, the parties to the petition have settled their dispute amicably and in pursuance of an understanding arrived at between them, have approached this Court for quashing the FIR by consent.
4. The complainant/petitioner is personally present before the Court. On being questioned, she has no objection for quashing and setting aside the subject FIR.
5. It can, thus, be seen that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties. From the perusal of complaint, it transpires that the allegations are totally personal in nature. In these circumstances, and especially, in view of the law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of *Narinder Singh vs. State of Punjab (2014 AIR SCW 2065)*, we find that no purpose would be served by keeping the FIR pending except burdening the Criminal Courts which are already overburdened.
6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed in terms of prayer clause (a) and is disposed off as such.

(SARANG V. KOTWAL, J.)

(RANJIT MORE, J.)