

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO. 101 OF 2017

Nikhil S. Doiphode	..	Petitioner
versus		
The State of Maharashtra & Ors.	..	Respondents

Dr. Suneet Gupta for Petitioner.
Mr. V. N. Sagave – AGP for State.

**CORAM: DR. MANJULA CHELLUR, CJ. &
M.S. SONAK, J.**

DATE : 16 NOVEMBER 2017

P.C.:

- 1] Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

- 2] By this purported public interest litigation, the petitioner seeks the following reliefs :

“a. The Honourable Court direct the Respondents to expeditiously conduct a comprehensive survey within the municipal limits of Respondent No. 3, so as to identify all violations of the sanctioned Development Plan, and to submit the list thereof before this Honourable Court, at the earliest;

b. The Honourable Court issue directions as it may deem fit in the matter to rectify the above violations of the sanctioned Development Plan;

c. The Honourable Court issue directions as it may deem suitable to ensure provision of the necessary public facilities and utilities to the citizens living within the municipal limits of Respondent No. 3, as per the provisions of the Development Plan, and as may be deemed necessary by the Honourable Court under Article 21 of the Constitution of India; and

d. The Honourable Court pass any other suitable and equitable order as it may consider necessary in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3] From the aforesaid, it is quite clear that the reliefs applied for are extremely vague and too widely worded. The petitioner has not disclosed any details and has also not impleaded the alleged violaters as parties. Even the grounds in the petition are totally vague and of a general nature.

4] In these circumstances, we are unable to entertain the present petition as a public interest litigation.

5] The petition is therefore dismissed. There shall be no order as to costs.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

CHIEF JUSTICE