

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.931 OF 2017

IN

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.871 OF 2017

Bavika D. Gada ... **Applicant/Intervenor**

In the Matter in Between :

Mayur Shantilal Motani ... **Applicant**

V/s.

The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... **Respondents**

.....

Mr.Vikram Sutaria, Advocate for the Applicant/Intervenor.

Mr.Nilesh Tribhuvann i/b. Perna Sharma, Advocate for the original Applicant.

Ms.A.A.Takalkar, APP for the Respondent No.1/State.

....

CORAM : A.M.BADAR J.

DATED : 30th NOVEMBER 2017.

P.C. :

1 This is an application for intervention. The intervenor is the First Informant in the subject crime.

2 The learned Advocate for the Intervenor had supplied copy of the Intervention Application to the learned Advocate for the original applicant.

3 Heard the learned Advocate appearing for the applicant as well as the learned Advocate appearing for the Intervenor and the learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the respondent/State.

4 As the application is by the First Informant, the same is allowed. The applicant is allowed to intervene in the Criminal Application No.871 of 2017.

5 The application is accordingly disposed of.

(A.M.BADAR J.)