

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 3903 OF 2017

Amir Khudbuddin Sherkar and ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division at Pune
and ors. ..Respondents

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 3913 OF 2017

Vilas Jagannath Jadhav and ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division at Pune
and ors. ..Respondents

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 3914 OF 2017

Ranjit Ajitrao Patil and ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division at Pune
and ors. ..Respondents

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 4020 OF 2017

Maksud Ilai Ghodimar and ors. ..Petitioners
Versus
Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Division Miraj
and ors. ..Respondents

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 4021 OF 2017

Niyaj Mirasaheb Bairagadar and anr. ..Petitioners
Versus
Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Division Miraj
and ors. ..Respondents

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 4022 OF 2017

Nasir M. Sanadi ..Petitioner
Versus
Sub-Divisional Police Officer, Division Miraj
and ors. ..Respondents

with
WRIT PETITION NO. 4045 OF 2017

Bablu @ Vilas Bhimrao Garje Patil and ors.	..Petitioners
Versus	
Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division at Pune and ors.	..Respondents

Mr. Manoj Patil, advocate for the petitioners in writ petition Nos. 3903 and 3914 of 2017.

Mr. Anita Wakchoure, advocate for the petitioners in writ petition No.3913 of 2017.

Mr. Kuldeep U. Nikam, advocate for the petitioners in writ petition Nos. 4020, 4021 and 4022 of 2017.

Mr. C. K. Bhagoji i/b. Mr. Balwant Salunkhe, advocate for the petitioner in writ petition No.4045 of 2017.

Mr. Deepak Thakare, PP along with Ms. Sangeeta D. Shinde, Mr. K. V. Saste, Mrs. Aruna S. Pai and Mr. S. R. Shinde, APP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-
JOSHI, J J.**

DATE : 30th OCTOBER, 2017.

P. C. :

Heard learned counsel and learned APP appearing for the respective parties.

2. All these petitions arise out of externment proceedings. The petitioners were externed under Section 55 of Maharashtra Police Act for the period ranging from 1year to 2 years. The petitioners, being aggrieved, approached the appellate authority viz. the Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division, Pune under Section 60 of the Maharashtra Police Act by filing separate appeals. The petitioners also preferred

separate applications for interim stay before the appellate authority. These stay applications were rejected by passing separate order dated 16th September, 2017 in each of the applications and these orders are impugned in the present petitions.

3. A statement is made across the Bar by the learned counsel appearing for the respective petitioners that the appeals filed by the petitioners before the appellate authority are heard finally and hearing is concluded on 24th October, 2017. This statement is not disputed by the learned APP for the State.

4. In these circumstances, we are not inclined to entertain these petitions. Suffice it to direct the appellate authority viz. the Divisional Commissioner, Pune Division, Pune, to pass final order in the said appeals as expeditiously as possible and, in any case, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order. Ordered accordingly.

5. In the light of the above, the petitions are disposed off.

[DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]