

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 3780 OF 2017

Anilkumar Chotelal Yadav	.. Petitioner
Vs.	
The State of Maharashtra	.. Respondent

Ms.Rohini M. Dandekar, for the Petitioner.
Mrs.G.P. Mulekar, APP for State.

**CORAM : SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.**

12th OCTOBER, 2017

ORAL JUDGMENT (PER SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.) :

1. Heard both sides.

2. The petitioner preferred an application for parole on 12/08/2015 on the ground of illness of his mother. The grievance of the petitioner is that the said application has not yet been decided

3. During the period of parole, the petitioner wanted to go to Pratapgad in the State of Uttar Pradesh. The police report

was called from Superintendent of police, Pratapgad police station in Uttar Pradesh.

4. Learned APP states that reminders are being sent to Pratapgad police station, Uttar Pradesh every month by jail authorities. However, no report has yet been received from them. She submitted that only on the ground of non- receiving of the police report, application of the petitioner has remained pending.

5. In view of the above, directions are given to the jail authorities to send reminders to the Pratapgad police station, Uttar Pradesh and as soon as police report is received, decision be taken on the application of the petitioner for parole within 3 weeks. Rule is made absolute in the above terms.

6. Office to communicate this order to the petitioner who is in the Nashik Road Central Prison.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(SMT. V.K.TAHILRAMANI, J.)