

hvn

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 1610 OF 2017

Lalasaheb Bajirao Shere

... Petitioner

Versus

The Chief Executive Officer and Ors.

... Respondents

Mr.Rajaram Deshmukh for the petitioner.

Ms. S.B. Kalel , AGP for R. nos. 3 and 4 State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU KEMKAR &
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.
DATED : FEBRUARY 07, 2017.**

P.C.

Not on board. In view of the urgency, taken on production board.

2. The grievance of the petitioner is that though the respondent no. 3 Deputy Director of Education, Pune has forwarded the proposal to transfer the petitioner from Karmala to Latur district, on the basis of the policy of keeping the husband and wife at one place vide proposal dated 11.1.2016 Exh."F", respondent no. 2 has not taken any decision on the said proposal Exh. F as yet.

3. Keeping in view the aforesaid, we are inclined to dispose of this petition by directing respondent no.2 to consider and take

appropriate decision on the said proposal dated 11.1.2016 Exh. F sent by respondent no. 3 to him. The decision as aforesaid be taken as expeditiously as possible but not later than two months from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Petitioner to submit copy of this order and copy of the said proposal Exh. F before respondent no. 2.

4. With the aforesaid directions, Petition is disposed of.

(PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.)

(SHANTANU KEMKAR, J.)