

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 3571 OF 2017

Machhindra Govind Shirke.

..Petitioner.

Versus

State of Maharashtra & Another.

..Respondents.

Mr. L. P. Kanal for the Petitioner

Mr. K. V. Saste, APP for t he State.

Coram : RANJIT MORE &
PRAKASH D. NAIK, JJ.

Date : **October 9, 2017.**

P. C. :

1. Heard. The Petitioner has directly approached this Court, invoking the jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution of India to challenge the order passed under section 56 of the Maharashtra Police Act. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner does not dispute that against the impugned order, appeal lies before the Divisional Commissioner under section 60 of the said Act. Since the Petitioner has alternate remedy of appeal which is equally efficacious, we are not inclined to entertain this petition and the same is accordingly dismissed. Needless to mention that Appellant is at liberty to challenge the impugned order by way of appeal.

[PRAKASH D. NAIK, J.]

[RANJIT MORE, J.]