

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2717 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Hasmukh Shah & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2718 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Hasmukh Shah & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2719 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Hasmukh Shah & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2720 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Hasmukh Shah & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2721 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Hasmukh Shah & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2722 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Pradeep Pasari & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2723 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Pradeep Pasari & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2724 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Pradeep Pasari & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2725 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Pradeep Pasari & & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2726 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Pradeep Pasari & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2727 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
-------------------------------------	------------

Vs.

Pradeep Pasari & Anr. ... Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2728 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Pradeep Pasari & Anr. ...	Respondents

WITH
CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 2729 OF 2004

Mrs. Manjula Chainroop Bhansali ...	Petitioner
Vs.	
Pradeep Pasari & Anr. ...	Respondents

Mr. Niteen Pradhan i/b. Ms. S.D.Khot for the petitioner (in all matters)
Mr. Nikhil Ghate a/w Ms. Harshita Joglekar i/b. M/s. Haresh Jagtiani &
Associates for respondent No.1 (in all matters)
Mr. V.B.Konde-Deshmukh, APP, for the State.

CORAM: SMT.SADHANA S.JADHAV, J.
DATE : 3rd May, 2017.

P.C.

Heard respective counsel for the petitioner and the original complainant i.e. respondent No.1.

2. The respective counsel submit that the parties have arrived at an amicable settlement and have drawn consent terms which are placed on record.

3. The learned counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the petitioner herein has given demand drafts in favour of respondent No.1, who happens

to be the original complainant. The Consent Terms are taken on record and marked “X” (collectively) for the purpose of identification. The respective counsel, upon instructions, submit that the parties to the petition undertake to abide by the consent terms.

4. In view of this, the Petitions are allowed in terms of prayer clause (b). Rule is made absolute. Petitions stand disposed of.

(SMT. SADHANA S.JADHAV, J.)