

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION***

WRIT PETITION NO. 9451 OF 2017

Kunda Gulabrao Shimpi

... Petitioner

Vs

1 The State of Maharashtra & Ors

... Respondents

Ms. Pranita P. Hingmire for the Petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Pakale, Spl. GP with Mr. B.V. Samant, AGP, for the Respondent-State.

Mr. Madan Gupta for the Respondent No.5.

**CORAM : S.C. DHARMADHIKARI &
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

TUESDAY, 3RD OCTOBER, 2017

P.C. :

1 The petitioner's counsel does not dispute that the grievance in the petition comes within the purview of the Administrative Tribunals Act, 1985, and particularly the term “service matter” as defined therein. Hence, in terms of section 15 of that Act, it will be the Maharashtra Administrative Tribunal which would be competent to entertain this grievance and grant the necessary reliefs.

2 The writ petition memo, together with all its annexures, is returned to the petitioner's advocate for presentation to the Tribunal.

SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.

S.C. DHARMADHIKARI, J.