

Urmila Ingale

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 9148 OF 2017

Anand Sahebrao Phadake .. Petitioner
Vs.
Election Commission, Maharashtra and ors. .. Respondents

Mr.VR.Gaikwad, for the Petitioner.
Mr.S.B. Shetye, for Respondent No.1.
Mr.A.A. Alaspurkar, AGP for Respondents No. 2 to 4 – State.

**CORAM : B.R.GAVAI &
M.S.KARNIK, JJ.**

DATE : 06th SEPTEMBER, 2017

P.C. :

1. The petitioner has approached this Court contending that the reservation as provided by the respondents of seats in the various wards is not in accordance with Rule 4 of the Bombay Village Panchayats (Number of Members, Divisions into Wards And Reservation of Seats) Rules, 1966.

2. The election process is already set in motion. Any interference at this stage would result in stalling the election process. In view of law laid down by the Apex Court in the case of **Election**

Commission of India through Secretary Vs. Ashok Kumar and others (2000) 8 SCC 216, we are not inclined to entertain the Petition. The Petition is rejected.

(M.S.KARNIK, J.)

(B.R.GAVAI, J.)