

Vidya Amin

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

APPEAL FROM ORDER (St.) NO. 22279 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST.) NO.22280 OF 2017 IN A.O. (St.) No. 22279 OF 2017

a/w.
APPEAL FROM ORDER (St.) NO. 22281 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION (ST.) NO.22282 OF 2017 IN A.O. (St.) No. 22281 OF 2017

Dream Arihant Infrastructure & Co. ... Appellant/Applicant
Vs.
Yashvant Balu Pendhari ... Respondent

Mr. Pradip R. Kadam, Advocate for the appellant/applicant.
Mr. Uday P. Warunjikar i/b. Siddhesh Pilankar, Advocate for the respondent.

CORAM: MRS.MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.
DATE: 21st August, 2017.

P.C.:

In Appeal from Order (St.) No. 22279 of 2017, the order dated 15th July, 2017 passed by the learned Judge of the City Civil Court in Notice of Motion No. 2347 of 2016 in S.C. Suit No. 1361 of 2016 granting status quo is challenged. In Appeal from Order (St.) No. 22279 of 2017, the order dated 15th July, 2017 passed by the learned Judge of the City Civil Court in Notice of Motion No. 2348 of 2016 in S.C. Suit No. 1362 of 2016 granting status quo is challenged.

2. I am informed by the learned counsel for both the parties that

the appellant/defendant has filed affidavit-in-reply in the respective Notice of Motion and Notice of Motion is now fixed for hearing on 23rd August, 2017.

3. The learned counsel for the respondent/plaintiff submitted that the plaintiff may file rejoinder to the reply.

4. The learned trial Judge, after considering the submissions and status of both the Notice of Motion, may endeavour to dispose of the Notice of Motions three weeks thereafter.

5. Both the Appeal from Order is disposed of. Civil Applications are also accordingly disposed of.

(MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.)