

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPEAL FROM ORDER NO. 1187 OF 2014
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 1434 OF 2014
(STAY APPLICATION)

Vijay B. Jadhav .. Appellant
vs.
Municipal Corporation of Greater Mumbai .. Respondent

None present for both side.

CORAM : M. S. SONAK, J.
DATE : 20 MARCH 2017.

P.C. :-

- 1] Neither the appellant nor his advocate are present.
- 2] The appeal challenges the order dated 13 August 2014, by which, learned Trial Judge has declined the ad-interim injunction. By now, it is expected that the notice of motion itself may have been disposed of. In any case, the learned Trial Judge is directed to dispose of the notice of motion, on its own merits and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible and in any case within a period of 8 weeks from the date of receipt of the authenticated copy of this order. At this stage, it will not be appropriate to grant any ad-interim reliefs.
- 3] The record indicates that even in the past, i.e., 17 November 2015, neither the appellant nor his advocate were present.

4] With the aforesaid observations, the appeal is accordingly, dismissed. Upon disposal of main appeal, the civil application seeking stay does not survive and the same is also disposed of.

5] The Registry is directed to forward an authenticated copy of this order to the City Civil Court at Greater Bombay within two weeks from today..

6] All concerned to act on the basis of the authenticated copy of this order.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)