

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY.
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION.**

**REVIEW PETITION NO. 49 OF 2017
WITH
CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 56 OF 2017
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 8851 OF 2010**

Office Notes, Office Memoranda of Coram, Appearances, court's orders or directions and Registrar's orders	Court's or Judge's orders
---	---------------------------

Mr. Pradeep Patil for the Petitioner.

Mr. Jayesh Joshi for the Respondent.

CORAM : K. K. TATED, J.

DATED : 22/02/2017

PC.:

. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.

2 The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that when the Writ Petition finally decided on 09.06.2016 no one appeared on behalf of Review Petitioner. Therefore, said order is required to be set aside and/or recall and matter to be decided on its own merits.

3 It is to be noted that Review Petitioners were duly served in Writ Petition. When that matter was called out, no one appeared on behalf of Review Petitioner. That cannot be a ground for review of the order.

4 Hence, Review Petition stands rejected.

5 In view of rejection of Review Petition, nothing survives in the Civil Application. Hence, Civil Application stands dismissed as infructuous.

(K.K.TATED, J.)