

RMA

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1131 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 537 OF 2017**

Anil Ramdas Khadtare

.. Applicant/
Intervenor

In the Matter Between

1. Tushar Sopan Ingale
2. Dipak @ Gunda Gorakh Khatkale

.. Appellants
(Org. Accused Nos. 2 & 9)

Vs

State of Maharashtra

.. Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1051 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 576 OF 2017**

Anil Ramdas Khadtare

.. Applicant/
Intervenor

In the Matter Between
Vinayak Vittal Mali

.. Appellant
(Org. Accused No. 6)

Vs

The State of Maharashtra

.. Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1052 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 577 OF 2017**

Anil Ramdas Khadtare

.. Applicant/
Intervenor

In the Matter Between
Madhukar Shankar Bansode

.. Appellant
(Org. Accused No. 1)

Vs

The State of Maharashtra

.. Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1053 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 578 OF 2017**

Anil Ramdas Khadtare	.. Applicant/ Intervenor
<u>In the Matter Between</u> Amar Shivaji Salunkhe	.. Appellant (Org. Accused No. 8)
Vs	
The State of Maharashtra	.. Respondent

**WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 1132 OF 2017
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 580 OF 2017**

Anil Ramdas Khadtare	.. Applicant/ Intervenor
<u>In the Matter Between</u> Sagar Sudhakar Chavan	.. Appellant (Org. Accused No. 10)
Vs	
The State of Maharashtra	.. Respondent

Mr. R.M. Momin i/by Ms. Sana Mujawar, Advocate for the
Applicants / Intervenor

Mr. Umesh Mankapure a/w Mr. R.A. Naik for the Appellants
in Cri. Appeal No. 537 of 2017

Mr. Nitesh Mohite i/by Mr. Jaydeep Mane for the Appellants
in Cri. Appeal Nos. 576/2017, 577/2017 and 578/2017

Mr. Datta Mane Advocate for the Appellants in Cri. Appeals
579/2017 & 580/2017

Mr. H.J. Dedhia, APP for the State in Cri. Appeals 537/17,
576/17, 577/17, 578/17 & 579/17

Mrs. G.P. Mulekar, APP for the State in Cri. Appeal 580/17

**CORAM : SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI &
SANDEEP K. SHINDE, JJ.
DATE : AUGUST 3, 2017.**

P.C. :

1. Through these applications, the applicant- original complainant has mainly prayed for intervention in Criminal Appeals preferred by the appellants - original accused seeking anticipatory bail.

2. As the learned counsel for the applicant has been heard at length in the appeals, the main prayer in this application is addressed. As far as the other prayers are concerned, it would be open to the applicant to take appropriate steps before the appropriate forum.

3. The applications are disposed of in the above terms.

[SANDEEP K. SHINDE, J.] [SMT. V.K. TAHILRAMANI, J.]