

Jsn

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

WRIT PETITION NO. 8511 OF 2017

Rehman Amin Shah & Ors. ... Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & Anr. ... Respondents

Mr. Jayendra Khairnar, with Mr. Santosh L. Patil for the Petitioners.
Mr. A.P. Vanarase, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. V.B. Shivarkar, for the Respondent No.4.

**CORAM: SMT. VASANTI A NAIK AND
MR. RIYAZ I. CHAGLA, JJ.
DATED: 6TH NOVEMBER 2017**

PC:-

The only prayer made by the petitioners in the instant petition is for a direction against the respondent no.4, Chief Officer, Chandwad Municipal Council, Taluka Chandwad, Dist. Nashik to grant approval / sanction to the revised layout plan submitted by the petitioners.

The learned counsel appearing for the respondent no.4 states that revised layout plan is not submitted by the petitioners to the respondent no.4 and if the petitioners submit the same to the respondent no.4, the same could be decided in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid, we dispose of the writ petition with permission to the petitioners to submit the proposal for sanctioning the revised layout plan within three weeks and with a direction against the respondent no.4 to decide the proposal for sanctioning

the layout plan within eight weeks from the receipt of the same.

Order accordingly. No order as to costs.

(RIYAZ I. CHAGLA J.)

(SMT. VASANTI A. NAIK, J.)