

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO. 8273 OF 2017

Shri Popat Shankar Hakke

.... Petitioner

vs

The State of Maharashtra, through the
Secretary and ors.

.... Respondents

ALONG WITH**WRIT PETITION NO. 8274 OF 2017**

Shri Mahadeo Yashwant Kolekar

.... Petitioner

vs

The State of Maharashtra, through the
Secretary and ors.

.... Respondents

AND ALONG WITH**WRIT PETITION NO. 8275 OF 2017**

Shri Pandurang Pundlik Pingle

.... Petitioner

vs

The State of Maharashtra, through the
Secretary and ors.

.... Respondents

Mr. Sagar A. Mane i/by Mr. Mandar G. Bagkar for the petitioner in
WP/8273/2017.

Mr. Sagar A. Mane for the Petitioner in WP/8274/17 and
WP/8275/17.

Mr. C.P. Yadav, AGP for Respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Ramkrushna Yedave i/by Mr. Vijay Killedar for respondent No.2 in
all matters.

**CORAM: ANOOP V. MOHTA AND
SMT. BHARATI H. DANGRE, JJ.**

DATE : August 21, 2017

FINAL ORDER:

1 Rule. Rule made returnable forthwith. Heard finally by
consent.

2 The learned counsel for the Petitioners make statement
that in view of the decision in Writ Petition No.6438/2017-*Shri Bharat
Raosaheb Shejal v. The State of Maharashtra* dated 13.07.2017, as their
case is governed on same facts as well as on law, therefore, similar
order can be passed in favour of the Petitioners.

3 The learned counsel for Respondent No.2 – Zilla Parishads,
on instructions and after going through the order/judgment, concede
to the position that this order/judgment covers the Petitioners' case in
every aspect. The statement is made that in other matters they have
already acted upon the direction so given in the case of *Shri Bharat
Shejal (supra)*. The similar order will be passed in these Petitions in
favour of the Petitioners.

4 The statement is made by the learned counsel for the
Petitioners in Writ Petition Nos.8274/17 and 8275/17 that reason for
seeking transfer is the Petitioners having old age parents and the
reason for seeking transfer in Writ Petition No.8273/17 is that his wife
is an employee of Zilla Parishad.

5 Considering the statement so made by the learned counsel
for the Respondents and the reason so given in the order/judgment in
Shri Bharat Shejal (supra), we are inclined to dispose of the present
Writ Petitions on similar lines in terms of prayer (b).

6 Rule made absolute accordingly.

7 There shall be no order as to costs.

(BHARATI H. DANGRE, J.)

(ANOOP V. MOHTA, J.)