

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 887 OF 2016
IN
CRIMINAL APPEAL NO. 22 OF 2016**

Shabina Munna Shaikh. ... Applicant.
Versus
The State of Maharashtra & anr. ... Respondents.

Mr. Naik a/w. Mr. Suraj Gaikwad, advocate for Applicant.

Mrs. P.P. Shinde, APP for State.

**CORAM : SMT.SADHANA S. JADHAV,J
DATE : JULY 27, 2017**

P.C.:

1 The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that pursuant to the Order dated 5th May, 2016, the Applicant had furnished surety. However, the police verification was submitted belatedly and therefore, the learned Sessions Judge had not accepted the surety, as time for furnishing the surety was granted for just two weeks.

2 The learned Counsel for the Applicant submits that the police verification report is now before the Court. The learned Additional Sessions Judge, Mumbai is hereby requested to accept the sureties furnished by the Applicant vide order dated 5th May, 2016. With these directions, the Application stands disposed of. Compliance report to be filed by 8/8/2017.

(SMT. SADHANA S. JADHAV,J)