

Anand

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION**

**CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.344 OF 2014
(For Cancellation Of Anticipatory Bail)**

The State of Maharashtra .Applicant

Vs.

Ganesh Ekanath Mehetre & anr. .Respondents

Mr.S.S.Pednekar, APP, for the Applicant – State
Mr.Prashant Hagare, Advocate, for the Respondents

CORAM : REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.

DATE : 13.06.2017

P.C.

. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. By this Application preferred by the State under Section 439(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the Applicant seeks cancellation of the Anticipatory Bail granted to the Respondents, by the learned Ad-hoc District Judge & Additional Sessions Judge, Baramati vide Order dated 11.04.2014 passed in B.A.No.196 of 2014.

3. Learned counsel for the Respondents states that this Court (CORAM : MRS. MRIDULA BHATKAR, J.) vide Order dated 09.03.2017 passed in Cri. Appln. No.286 of 2014 was pleased to

dismiss the Application preferred by the State on the ground that learned APP had not brought on record any material to show that the conditions imposed by the Court, whilst granting Anticipatory Bail have been violated.

4. Learned APP does not dispute the fact, that the connected Application, being Cri. Appln.No.286 of 2014 filed by the State was dismissed by this Court vide Order dated 09.03.2017.

5. Learned APP has not brought on record any material to show that the conditions imposed by the Court whilst granting Anticipatory Bail have been violated, even against the present Respondents/accused. Learned APP is unable to show that the Respondents have committed any other offence or that have threatened the Complainant or any other person concerned with the said case, after they were granted Anticipatory Bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid, no interference is warranted in the facts of the present case. Accordingly, the Application stands rejected.

(REVATI MOHITE DERE, J.)