

WRIT PETITION NO. 9364 OF 2017

V/s.

3] It is undisputed that the Petitioner's application for interim maintenance claimed at the rate of Rs.60,000/- per month was allowed by the trial Court. As regards her another prayer for disclosure of the income of the Respondent, it is neither expressly dealt with, nor it is rejected and therefore, it follows that the Family Court has considered the said prayer as an interim prayer which was to facilitate the order for the award of interim maintenance. Now the interim maintenance, as claimed by the Petitioner being awarded, there is no reason as such for the Petitioner to agitate against the impugned order of the trial Court. Her only apprehension is that this order may be considered as *res-judicata*. It is therefore clarified that this order is not to be considered as *res-judicata* and the Petitioner is at liberty to ask for the same prayer about disclosure of the Respondent's assets.

4] Writ Petition is disposed of in above terms.

(DR. SHALINI PHANSALKAR-JOSHI, J.)