

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.5580 OF 2006

**SHASAN MANYA MUDRANK VIKRETA)
DASTALEKHAK MAHASANGH(Pune Branch))...PETITIONER**

V/s.

STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS.)...RESPONDENTS

None for the Petitioner.

Mr.Sandeep Phatak i/b. Mr.Sugandh Deshmukh, Advocate for the Respondent.

**CORAM : S.V.GANGAPURWALA &
A. M. BADAR, JJ.**

DATE : 20th JULY 2017

P.C. :

1 None appears for the petitioner. The grievance of the petitioner is with regard to granting license to respondent no.3, thereby approving grant of franking machine to respondent no.3. The State, in its affidavit, has made clear that respondent no.3 already had a stamp vendors license and after the impugned communication has started sale of stamp by franking. That would

in no way affect the petitioner. It is not a case that respondent no.3 was granted a fresh license. Respondent no.3 already had a license of stamp vendor and was granted approval of franking machine and subsequently, the Maharashtra E-Registration / Filing Rules 2013 have come into operation. The same is not the subject matter of the present writ petition.

2 In light of the above, writ petition stands dismissed.
No costs.

(A. M. BADAR, J.)

(S.V.GANGAPURWALA, J.)