

pmw

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CR. PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION NO.8 OF 2015**

Vinod Deepchand Gangwal	... Petitioner
Vs.	
State of Maharashtra and Ors.	... Respondents

None for the Petitioner.

Dr. F.R. Shaikh, APP for the Respondent Nos.1, 2, 5, 6 and 7.

Mr. Raees Kazi i/by Mr. Sandeep V. Marne for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : A.S. OKA &
ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 5th JANUARY, 2017

PC.

1 As per the order dated 28th November, 2016 a communication has been issued by the Registry to the Petitioner informing him about the date fixed today. That is the endorsement made on the farad sheet. Paragraph 1 of the Petition records that the Petitioner is an Advocate.

2 Perused prayers (a) and (b) which are the substantive prayers. Both these prayers cannot be sought in a criminal PIL. The prayer for taking action in criminal law is made only by way of interim relief. Hence, we decline to entertain this criminal PIL. The same is accordingly disposed of. We make it clear that we have made no adjudication on the merits of the controversy.

(ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J)

(A.S. OKA, J)