

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 42 OF 2017

Suvarna D/o.Dattatraya SandbhorPetitioner
versus
Mr. Daulat Desai, Chief Executive OfficerRespondent
with

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 424 OF 2016

Shri Sharadkumar Bhaskar Khandekar and ors.Petitioners
versus
Mr. Daulat Desai, Chief Executive Officer and ors.Respondents
with

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 425 OF 2016

Smt. Jayshree Madhukar LohkarePetitioner
versus
Mr. Daulat Desai, Chief Executive Officer and ors.Respondents
with

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 427 OF 2016

Smt. Varsha Nagnath SatputePetitioner
versus
Mr. Daulat Desai, Chief Executive Officer and ors.Respondents
with

CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 428 OF 2016

Smt. Latika Lalasaheb KakadePetitioner
versus
Mr. Daulat Desai, Chief Executive Officer and ors.Respondents
with

CONTEMPT PETITION STAMP NO. 3311 OF 2017

Sadhana D/o. Dattatraya SandbhorPetitioner
versus
Mr. Daulat Desai, Chief Executive OfficerRespondent

None for the petitioners in contempt petition No. 42 of 2017 and contempt petition stamp No.3311 of 2017.

Mr. Shankar M. Katkar, advocate for the petitioners in writ petition Nos. 424, 425, 427 and 428 of 2017.

Mr. N. P. Deshpande, advocate for the Zilla Parishad in contempt petition No.42 of 2017 and contempt petition stamp No.3311 of 2017.

Mr. Pankaj Pandey, advocate for the Zilla Parishad in contempt petition

Nos. 424, 425, 427 and 428 of 2017.
Mrs. M. P. Thakur, AGP for the State.

**CORAM : RANJIT MORE &
SMT.ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, JJ.**

DATE : 18th DECEMBER, 2017.

P. C. :

Respective learned counsel appearing for the Zilla Parishads, on instructions, made a specific statement that the orders of this Court in respect of which contempt is alleged, are now complied. The statement is accepted.

2. In the light of the statement, the present contempt petitions have been rendered infructuous and the same are disposed off as such.

(SMT. ANUJA PRABHUDESSAI, J.)

[RANJIT MORE, J.]