

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO. 6285 OF 2017**

Ajay R. Pawar

..Petitioner

Vs

The State of Maharashtra and ors.

..Respondents

Mr. S.M. Sabrad for the Petitioner

Mr. P.P. More, AGP for the Respondents – State.

**CORAM : SHANTANU S. KEMKAR &
M. S. SONAK, JJ.
DATE : JULY 13, 2017.**

P.C. :

. The grievance of the petitioner is that respondent No.1 while passing the impugned order dated 6th May 2016 did not consider the Government Resolution (G.R.) dated 12th March 2013 (Exhibit-W), the report dated 16th February 2016 of the Additional Collector and the various judgments passed by this Court from time to time.

2] We find that there is no mention about consideration of the said G.R., report of the Additional Collector as also the judgments on which the petitioner has placed reliance. In the circumstances, we allow the petitioner to withdraw this petition with liberty to the

petitioner to file a review petition before respondent No.1. The petitioner may file the review petition within two weeks.

3] Needless to say that we have not commented upon the merits on the petitioner's entitlement. The respondent No.1 is free to decide the review petition, in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible but not later than three months from the date of filing of such review petition.

4] With aforesaid liberty, the petition is allowed to be withdrawn.

(M. S. SONAK, J.)

(SHANTANU S. KEMKAR, J.)